





FIG. 2

APPROVED BY DRAFTSMAN	O.G. F.G. CLASS SUBCLASS
-----------------------------	-----------------------------

FIG. 3

**MINNESOTA CROP IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION
APPLICATION FOR FIELD INSPECTION**

APPLICANT

Member No. _____ County _____

Name _____

Address _____
Route, Box or Street Number

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Telephone (_____) _____

Residence: Township _____ Sec.No. _____

GROWER

County _____

Name _____

(Please Print)

Address _____
Route, Box or Street Number

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Telephone (_____) _____

Residence: Township _____ Sec.No. _____

Information such as distances and directions from the nearest town, highway numbers or landmarks that will aid inspectors in locating farm and fields to be certified.

INSTRUCTIONS

1. Use a separate application blank for soybeans. Use special application form for perennial crops.
2. Use a separate application blank for each grower.
3. Apply before the dates given. A late application fee will be charged for applications received after these dates.

All crops except Soybeans **APPLY BEFORE** _____ June 15

Soybeans **APPLY BEFORE** _____ August 1

4. List each field separately.
5. Be sure to sign your application and send original copy to MCIA, keep second copy for your records.
6. Enclose a tag or other proof of seed source. See "Minnesota Seed Certification Standards."
7. Show location of field and indicate field number on **MAP ON BACK OF WHITE COPY**.

FIELD NO.	PRODUCT I.D.	SEED CLASS TO BE PRODUCED	NO. OF ACRES	APPROX. DATE PLANTED	PREVIOUS CROP IN FIELD	IDENTITY OF SEED PLANTED ³

1. F = Foundation R = Registered C = Certified QA = Quality Assurance RR = Roundup Ready IP = Identity Preserved
2. If previous crop same as crop grown this year, note variety and class of previous crop.
3. Identity of seed planted (See instructions for Filing Applications for Field Inspections).

The undersigned affirms that standards, regulations and procedures published by the Minnesota Crop Improvement Association will be followed in producing, processing and handling seed from the fields included in this application.

Signature of Applicant

LABORATORY REPORT

Company:

Attn:

Fax:

Date of Report:

Date Sample(s) Received:

Total Samples Processed: 1

GID Sample Code:

Customer Sample ID:

Sample Type: soybeans

Sample Weight: 2 lbs.

Amount of genetically modified material in sample within a confidence interval of ten percent:

- ☐ Greater than 1%
 - ☐ Less than 1.0% and greater than or equal to 0.1%
 - ☒ Negative at the operational limit of detection of less than 0.1%
-

FIG. 4

INSPECTOR'S REPORT FOR PROCESSING FACILITY

Date of Inspection _____

Plant Name _____ Plant No. _____

Address _____ Zip Code _____ Phone No. _____

Manager _____ Years experience _____

Mill Operator _____ Years experience _____

Years of Operation _____ Years approved _____

Approved for _____

Does plant keep required records?

Does plant file required reports promptly?

Does plant keep processed and unprocessed samples of seed?

Does plant identify each bag of cleaned seed with a tab or stencil?

How are samples taken? Mill _____ Bagger _____ Probe _____

What lot numbering system is used? _____

Does plant use sequence and appointment cleaning?

Is there complete cleanup between lots and varieties?

Is required and recommended maintenance done from year to year?

RATING

FIG. 5A

EQUIPMENT	EVALUATION	<u>RATING</u>
1. _____ Make _____ _____ Model _____ _____	Condition of machine, accessories and related equipment – _____ _____ _____	_____ _____
2. _____ Make _____ _____ Model _____ _____	Condition of Machine, accessories and related equipment – _____ _____ _____	_____ _____
3. _____ Make _____ _____ Model _____ _____	Condition of Machine, accessories and related equipment – _____ _____ _____	_____ _____
4. _____ Make _____ _____ Model _____ _____	Condition of Machine, accessories and related equipment – _____ _____ _____	_____ _____

FIG. 5B

EQUIPMENT EVALUATION

Equipment (cont)	Manufacturer	Model	Condition Evaluation
Spiral			
Rice Mill			
Debearder			
Asperator			
Scarifier			
Bean Ladder			
Electro Color Sorter			
Bag Closer			
Auto. Bagging Scale			
Bagging Scale			
Bulk Scale			
Air Compressor			
Vacuum Cleaner			
In Line Vacuum			
Tag Printing Equipment			
Small Scales			
Hand Testing Screens			
Moister Tester			
Dockage Scales			
Bushel Weight Tester			
Bin Probe			
Additional Equipment			

FIG. 5C

PLANT CONSTRUCTION	EVALUATION	RATING
<u>PIT</u>	<u>PIT</u>	
Number _____	Condition _____	
Common or separate _____	Accessibility _____	
	Is there a cover for the pit? _____	
<u>LEGS -BOOTS -HEADS</u>	<u>LEGS -BOOTS -HEADS</u>	
Number _____	Condition of legs and cups. _____	

Common or separate _____	Are there spacers behind the cups? _____	
	Leg accessibility _____	
	Boot condition _____	
	Boot accessibility _____	
	Head condition _____	

	Head accessibility _____	
<u>SPOUTS</u>	<u>SPOUTS</u>	
Number _____	Condition _____	

<u>DISTRIBUTER</u>	<u>DISTRIBUTER</u>	
Make _____	Condition _____	
Number _____	Condition of gasket _____	
Type (3 way 6 way etc.) _____	Accessibility of Inspection Door _____	
_____	_____	
_____	Cleanliness inside _____	
	Proper adjustment _____	
<u>BINS</u>	<u>BINS</u>	
Type of bins _____	Condition of inside bin walls _____	

Number of bins _____	Are bins numbered? _____	
Capacity of bins _____	Are bins covered? _____	
	General cleanliness of the following:	
	Mill Room _____	
	Basement _____	
	Head house _____	

FIG. 5D

PLANT INSPECTION SUMMARY AND ANNUAL REPORT

Plant Name _____ Address _____

Plant Manager _____

Rating _____ Ratings are based on individual ratings for equipment and plant construction added together and divided by the total number of ratings.

Scales: 1 = Poor 2 = Fair 3 = Good 4 = Very Good 5 = Excellent

Equipment Repairs or Improvements Needed: _____

Construction Repairs or Improvements Needed: _____

Operation changes suggested: _____

Changes Made In Past Years: _____

Tag Printing Privileges: Yes _____ No _____ Tags On Hand: Reg. _____ Cert. _____

Number Of Tags Used Past Years: _____

Date _____ Inspector _____

FIG. 5E

OPTIONAL

Cleaning Performance Record

<u>Crop</u>	<u>No. Lots Cleaned</u>	<u>No. Lots Rejected</u>	<u>Reason</u>

Rating on Above

FIG. 5F